UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/075,666	05/11/1998	TETSUJIRO KONDO	450100-2780.	3934
20999 FROMMER LA	7590 08/23/2007 AWRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			KIM, CHONG R	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
	2024			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/075,666	KONDO, TETSUJIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles Kim	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 J</u>	lulv 2007.				
	s action is non-final.				
· <u>-</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/061730</u> .					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				
	, _				

Application/Control Number: 09/075,666

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Non-Compliant Submissions

- 1. The submissions filed on July 27, 2007, particularly the Declaration, Assent of Assignee, and Statement Under 3.73(b) are non-compliant because none of the documents are signed. In addition, the submissions are further non-compliant because they fail to include a proper heading that includes the application number of the instant reissue application. Moreover, the Statement Under 3.73(b) is further non-compliant because it improperly refers to "parent application Serial No. 08/061,730." The Examiner would like to point out that application 08/061,730 is not a parent application but instead, is the patent for the instant reissue application.
- 2. The amendments filed September 21, 2006 and July 27, 2007 propose amendments to the claims that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173, which sets forth the manner of making amendments for claims in reissue applications. In particular, Applicant's amendments to the claims do not include a complete claim listing as required by 37 CFR 1.173.

A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required in response to this Office Action. Because this is a reissue application, 37 CFR 1.121 amendment practice does not apply.

Applicant is notified that any subsequent amendment to the specification and/or claims must comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b). In addition, when any substantive amendment is filed in the reissue application, which amendment otherwise places the reissue application in condition for allowance, a supplemental oath/declaration will be required. See MPEP § 1414.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/075,666

Art Unit: 2624

Oath/Declaration

3. The reissue oath/declaration filed on July 27, 2007 is defective because it fails to identify at least one error that is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414. Examiner suggests Applicant review MPEP § 1414, which states:

It is not sufficient for an oath /declaration to merely state "this application is being filed to correct errors in the patent which may be noted from the changes made in the disclosure." Rather, the oath /declaration must specifically identify an error. In addition, it is not sufficient to merely reproduce the claims with brackets and underlining and state that such will identify the error. See In re Constant, 827 F.2d 728, 729, 3 USPQ2d 1479 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 484 U.S. 894 (1987). Any error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claim(s) and the specific claim language wherein lies the error. A statement of "... failure to include a claim directed to ..." and then presenting a newly added claim, would not be considered a sufficient "error" statement since applicant has not pointed out what the other claims lacked that the newly added claim has, or vice versa. Such a statement would be no better than saying in the reissue oath or declaration that "this application is being filed to correct errors in the patent which may be noted from the change made by adding new claim 10." In both cases, the error has not been identified.

4. A supplemental reissue oath/declaration must be filed because additional defects or errors were corrected in the reissue after the filing of the application. See MPEP § 1414.01. Moreover, "the supplemental reissue oath/declaration must state that every error which was corrected in the reissue application not covered by the prior oath(s)/declaration(s) submitted in the application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant." MPEP § 1414.01. The Examiner suggests Applicant use the PTO/SB/51S form available online at www.uspto.gov.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 251

5. Claims 1-38 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath/declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the oath/declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thurs 8:30am to 6pm and alternating Fri 9:30am to 6pm.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Kim

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2624

chongr.kim@uspto.gov

BHAVESH W MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

August 18, 2007